





Course: CJ1310.501: INTRO TO CJ












Course: CJ1310.501: INTRO TO CJ




6 - 6. Instructor seemed interested in teaching the course.												
Joshua Davis												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Per	cent	Percer	nt Respon	ses		Mea	ans		
Strongly Agree	(5)	6	85.	71%				4.71	4.34			
Agree	(4)	0	0.0	00%								
Neutral	(3)	1	14.	29%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.0	00%	1							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.0	00%	1							
	· ·				0 25	50	100	Question	Group Mean			
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Me	dian		Grou	p Mean	Mean	STD	Median	
7/34 (20.59%)	4.71	0.76		5	5.00			161	4.34	1.01	5.00	

7 - 7. Instructor's explanations were clea	r.										
Joshua Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Per	cent	Perce	ent Resp	onses		Me	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	6	85.	71%				4.86	4.39		
Agree	(4)	1	14.	29%							
Neutral	(3)	0	0.0	0%	1						
Disagree	(2)	0	0.0	0%							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.0	0%							
	•				0 2	25 50	100	Question	Group Mean		
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Me	dian		Gro	up Mean	Mean	STD	Median
7/34 (20.59%)	4.86	0.38		5	.00			163	4.39	1.02	5.00

8 - 8. The assignments were clearly explained.													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Perce	nt Percei	nt Respor	ises		Mea	ans				
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	100.00)%			5.00	4.40					
Agree	(4)	0	0.009	6									
Neutral	(3)	0	0.009	6 I									
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%	6									
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%	6									
				0 25	50	100	Question	Group Mean					
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Median		Grou	p Mean	Mean	STD	Median			
7/34 (20.59%)	5.00	0.00		5.00		1	64	4.40	1.00	5.00			



Course: CJ1310.501: INTRO TO CJ




10 - 10. Instructor spoke at a comfortable speed.												
Joshua Davis												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Per	cent	Perce	nt Resp	onses		Mea	ans		
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	71.4	43%			1	4.71	4.23			
Agree	(4)	2	28.	57%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0.0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.0	0%	1							
	'				0 2	5 50	100	Question	Group Mean			
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Me	dian		Grou	ıp Mean	Mean	STD	Median	
7/34 (20.59%)	4.71	0.49		5	5.00			162	4.23	0.99	5.00	

11 - 11. Instructor made me feel welcome	11 - 11. Instructor made me feel welcome in seeking help/advice in or outside of class.												
Joshua Davis													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Per	cent	Perce	nt Respor	ises		Mea	ans			
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	100.	.00%				5.00	4.25				
Agree	(4)	0	0.0	0%	1								
Neutral	(3)	0	0.0	0%	1								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.0	0%									
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.0	0%									
	•				0 2	5 50	100	Question	Group Mean				
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Me	dian		Grou	ıp Mean	Mean	STD	Median		
7/34 (20.59%)	5.00	0.00		5.	.00			161	4.25	1.08	5.00		

12 - 12. Instructor was adequately access	ible to me	during office	hours	or after clas	ss.						
Joshua Davis											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Per	cent Per	cent Re	spons	ses		Mea	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	100.	.00%				5.00	4.26		
Agree	(4)	0	0.0	00%							
Neutral	(3)	0	0.0	00%							
Disagree	(2)	0	0.0	00%							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.0	00%							
				0	25	50	100	Question	Group Mean		
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Median			Grou	p Mean	Mean	STD	Median
7/34 (20.59%)	5.00	0.00		5.00			1	61	4.26	0.99	5.00



Course: CJ1310.501: INTRO TO CJ




14 - 14. Feedback on examinations was useful to me.												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Per	cent	Percer	nt Respon	ses		Me	ans		
Strongly Agree	(5)	6	85.7	71%				4.86	4.18			
Agree	(4)	1	14.2	29%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0.0	00%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.0	00%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.0	00%								
	•				0 25	5 50	100	Question	Group Mean			
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Med	lian		Grou	p Mean	Mean	STD	Median	
7/34 (20.59%)	4.86	0.38		5.	00			161	4.18	1.13	5.00	

15 - 15. Feedback on graded materials was useful to me.													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Perce	ent Per	cent	Respon	ses		Mea	ans			
Strongly Agree	(5)	6	85.71	%				4.86	4.17				
Agree	(4)	1	14.29	9%									
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00	%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00	%									
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00	%									
				0	25	50	100	Question	Group Mean				
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Median			Grou	p Mean	Mean	STD	Median		
7/34 (20.59%)	4.86	0.38		5.00				163	4.17	1.17	5.00		

16 - 16. Methods on evaluating student w	16 - 16. Methods on evaluating student work were fair.													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Perc	ent	Percer	ıt Respo	nses		Mea	ans				
Strongly Agree	(5)	6	85.7	1%				4.86	4.32					
Agree	(4)	1	14.2	9%										
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00)%	1									
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00)%	l									
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00)%										
	·				0 25	50	100	Question	Group Mean					
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Med	ian		Grou	p Mean	Mean	STD	Median			
7/34 (20.59%)	4.86	0.38		5.0	00		1	162	4.32	1.02	5.00			




Course: CJ1310.501: INTRO TO CJ



18 - 18. Examinations reflected course content covered.												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Perc	ent	Perce	nt Respo	nses		Mea	ins		
Strongly Agree	(5)	6	85.7	1%				4.86	4.39			
Agree	(4)	1	14.2	9%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00)%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00)%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00	0%								
					0 2	5 50	100	Question	Group Mean			
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Med	lian		Grou	ıp Mean	Mean	STD	Median	
7/34 (20.59%)	4.86	0.38		5.	00			160	4.39	0.91	5.00	

19 - 19. Required readings were useful to	19 - 19. Required readings were useful to me.													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Perc	ent Pe	ercen	Respo	nses		Mea	ans				
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	71.4	3%				4.57	4.24					
Agree	(4)	1	14.2	9%										
Neutral	(3)	1	14.2	9%	1									
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00	0%										
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00	0%										
				0	25	50	100	Question	Group Mean					
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Median			Grou	p Mean	Mean	STD	Median			
7/34 (20.59%)	4.57	0.79		5.00				162	4.24	1.03	5.00			





Course: CJ1310.501: INTRO TO CJ

21 - 21. What grade in the course did you	21 - 21. What grade in the course did you expect when you enrolled in the class?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent F	espor	nses		Ме	ans			
A	(1)	7	100.00%										
В	(2)	0	0.00%	1									
С	(3)	0	0.00%	1									
D	(4)	0	0.00%	1									
F	(5)	0	0.00%	1									
	•			0	25	50	100						
	Response Rate												
	7/34 (20.59%)												

22 - 22. Course difficulty, relative	22 - 22. Course difficulty, relative to other courses was:											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Respons	ses		Ме	ans				
very easy	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
easy	(2)	2	28.57%									
medium	(3)	4	57.14%									
hard	(4)	1	14.29%									
very hard	(5)	0	0.00%	1								
	·			0 25 50	100							
			Res	ponse Rate								
	•		7/3	4 (20.59%)								


23 - 23. Course workload, relative to other	23 - 23. Course workload, relative to other courses was:											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
very light	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
light	(2)	2	28.57%									
medium	(3)	4	57.14%									
heavy	(4)	1	14.29%									
very heavy	(5)	0	0.00%	1								
				0 25 50 100								
			Res	onse Rate								
	·		7/34	1 (20.59%)								

24 - 24. Course pace was:										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent F	espoi	nses	Me	ans	
too slow	(1)	0	0.00%							
about right	(2)	7	100.00%							
too fast	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
				0	25	50	100			
			Res	onse	Rate					
			7/34	(20.5	9%)					

25 - 25. Hours per week require	d outside of class:						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Me	ans	
0-2	(1)	2	28.57%				
3-4	(2)	4	57.14%				
5-7	(3)	1	14.29%				
8-12	(4)	0	0.00%]			
over 12	(5)	0	0.00%]			
	•			0 25 50 100			
			Res	oonse Rate			
			7/3	4 (20.59%)			



Course: CJ1310.501: INTRO TO CJ




27 - 27. Level of interest in the	subject at this time:									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Perc	cent Re	spon	ises	Ме	ans	
very low	(1)	0	0.00%	1						
low	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
medium	(3)	4	57.14%							
high	(4)	3	42.86%							
very high	(5)	0	0.00%	1						
				0	25	50	100			
			Res	oonse F	Rate					
			7/3	4 (20.59	9%)					

28 - 28. Overall Grade Point Average at Te	xas State							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Response	es	Me	ans	
below 2.5	(1)	0	0.00%	I				
2.5-2.9	(2)	2	28.57%					
3.0-3.4	(3)	2	28.57%					
3.5-3.7	(4)	2	28.57%					
above 3.7	(5)	1	14.29%					
not yet established at Texas State	(6)	0	0.00%	1				
				0 25 50	00			
			Res	onse Rate				
			7/34	1 (20.59%)				

29 - 29. Expected final grade in the	nis class:						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Me	ans	
A	(1)	7	100.00%				
В	(2)	0	0.00%				
С	(3)	0	0.00%	1			
D	(4)	0	0.00%	1			
F	(5)	0	0.00%	1			
	·			0 25 50 100			
			Res	ponse Rate			
	•		7/34	4 (20.59%)			



Course: CJ1310.501: INTRO TO CJ



31 - 31. Classification:										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent F	lespor	ıses	Me	ans	
Freshman	(1)	0	0.00%							
Sophomore	(2)	0	0.00%	1						
Junior	(3)	2	28.57%							
Senior	(4)	5	71.43%							
Graduate Student	(5)	0	0.00%	1						
				0	25	50	100			
			Res	oonse	Rate					
·			7/3	4 (20.	59%)					

32 - 32. The instructor's knowle	edge of subject matte	r was:			
Joshua Davis					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
outstanding	(1)	5	71.43%		
above average	(2)	2	28.57%		
average	(3)	0	0.00%]	
below average	(4)	0	0.00%]	
poor	(5)	0	0.00%	1	
				0 25 50 100	
			Res	ponse Rate	
			7/3	4 (20.59%)	

Joshua Davis						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
outstanding	(1)	6	85.71%			
above average	(2)	1	14.29%			
average	(3)	0	0.00%	1		
below average	(4)	0	0.00%	1		
poor	(5)	0	0.00%	1		
	'			0 25 50 100		

34 - 34. What are the strengths and w	eaknesses of this course?								
Response Rate	3/34 (8.82%)								
•.									
Summer class so it was condensed but never	er felt overwhelming.								
Strengths were the course load. Was not ov	erbaring but still provided and opprtunity to learn								



Course: CJ1310.501: INTRO TO CJ

Instructor: Joshua Davis *
Response Rate: 7/34 (20.59 %)

35 - 35. What are the strengths and weaknesses of this instructor?

Joshua Davis

Response Rate 3/34 (8.82%)

- Responds quick and grades fair.
- Made it very clear what and when assignemnts were due, was interetested in the course, and helpful to students.
- Very good professor, would recommend to anyone looking to take a crimal justice course at Texas State

36 - 36. General comments:

Response Rate 2/34 (5.88%)

• .

• N/A

Mean of Means Calculations	Mean	Group Mean	
1 - Learning	4.54	4.25	
2 - Enthusiasm	4.71	4.24	
3 - Organization	4.89	4.32	
4 - Individual Rapport	4.95	4.23	
5 - Examinations	4.86	4.29	
6 - Assignments	4.64	4.22	
Overall	4.78	4.27	